From: <u>Jon Rauscher</u>

To: <u>Mike Schaub</u>; <u>Laura Hunt</u>

Subject: Fw: QASP

 Date:
 05/15/2010 09:40 AM

 Attachments:
 Appendix A - DQO 1 2.pdf

Appendix B - EPA ERT and START SOPs.pdf

Appendix C - Sentinel Monitoring Stations - Latitude and Longitude.pdf
Appendix D - EPA Region 6 Air Sampling and Monitoring QASP 050510.pdf
Appendix E- EPA Region 6 Water and Sediment QASP050510final.pdf
Appendix F - EPA Water Quality Benchmarks 10May10.pdf
Appendix G - EPA Hazardous Air Pollutant Screening Levels.pdf

---- Forwarded by Paige Delgado/R6/USEPA/US on 05/15/2010 09:35 AM -----

From: "Beck, Robert" < Robert.Beck@WestonSolutions.com>

To: Paige Delgado/R6/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: "Criner, Jeffrey J." <Jeff.Criner@WestonSolutions.com>, "Shappee, Cecilia"

<C.Shappee@WestonSolutions.com>, "Moore, David" < David.Moore@WestonSolutions.com>,

"Martin, Andrew" < Andrew. Martin@WestonSolutions.com > , "Benson, Brian"

<Brian.Benson@WestonSolutions.com>, "Swift, Paul" <Paul.Swift@WestonSolutions.com>

Date: 05/15/2010 09:10 AM

Subject: RE: QASP

Appendices attached.

From: Beck, Robert

Sent: Saturday, May 15, 2010 9:06 AM **To:** 'Delgado.Paige@epamail.epa.gov'

Cc: Criner, Jeffrey J.; Shappee, Cecilia; Moore, David; Martin, Andrew; Benson,

Brian; Swift, Paul Subject: QASP

Paige,

Please attached the draft QASP and figures. We've tried to incorporate the comments that you provided, as well as the technical discussions that we held yesterday. I wasn't sure who to send these to (didn't want to clog up everyone's email inbox). You'll either need to advise me on who to send it to, or do the distribution on your end. Will follow up with another email with the appendices.

Areas that should be reviewed closely:

- 1) DQO's. We've created a DQO for water, and we are still working on one for air. We included sort of a shell for the air DQO to show you where we're headed.
- 2) Screening levels. We incorporated what Jon had provided. Note, many of the compounds of concern are not in the tables. Not sure how to rectify.
- 3) Note, we did find a TCEQ Effect Screening Level (ESL) for 2-butoxyethanol of 18 ppb. TCEQ ESL's are similar to ambient NOEL's or no adverse effect levels.
- 4) We tentatively think that including TICs for full-scan, large-volume GC/MS is the best alternative as we had discussed. We won't have MDLs/RLs for many of the alkyl homologues. 24 hour TAT may not be possible for that analysis less than 72 hours may be optimistic. Expect pricing to be \$400 \$500/sample for PAHs.
- 5) It may be best to analyze DO in the field or in a close-support location. Recommend using the probe method rather than Winkler titration to minimize interferences probe values will need to be adjusted for salinity.
- 6) NN and TKN are around \$60/ea/sample. No pricing or source for chlorophyll a.

I assume we'll likely need a conference call to discuss after y'all have had a chance to review. I'd propose 11:30 central at the same number(s): 1-866-410-2480, ID 210-308-4315.

Robert B. Beck, P.E.
Weston Solutions, Inc.
18745 Bluff Point Road
Cornelius, North Carolina 28031
(704) 895-5222 Direct
(210) 392-3123 Cell
Robert.Beck@westonsolutions.com

CONFIDENTIALITY: This email and attachments may contain information which is confidential and proprietary. Disclosure or use of any such confidential or proprietary information without the written permission of Weston Solutions, Inc. is strictly prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this

email from your system. Thank you.













